




Association between personality and tau-PET binding in cognitively normal older adults

Stephanie A. Schultz^{1,2} · Brian A. Gordon^{2,3,4} · Shruti Mishra^{2,5} · Yi Su^{2,6} · John C. Morris^{3,7} · Beau M. Ances^{3,7} · Janet M. Ducheck^{3,4} · David A. Balota^{3,4} · Tammie L. S. Benzinger^{2,3,8} 

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Personality traits such as Neuroticism and Conscientiousness are associated with Alzheimer disease (AD) pathophysiology in cognitively normal (CN) and impaired individuals, and may represent potential risk or resilience factors, respectively. This study examined the cross-sectional relationship between personality traits and regional tau deposition using positron emission tomography (PET) in cognitively normal older adults. A cohort of CN (Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) 0, $n = 128$) older adults completed the NEO Five-Factor Inventory to assess traits of Neuroticism, Extroversion, Openness, Agreeableness, and Conscientiousness and underwent tau-PET and β -amyloid ($A\beta$)-PET imaging. We utilized linear regression models, adjusting for age, sex, geriatric depression score, and $A\beta$ to evaluate the association between each of the personality traits and regional tau-PET accumulation. Elevated Neuroticism scores were associated with higher tau-PET accumulation in the amygdala ($p = .002$), entorhinal cortex ($p = .012$), and inferior temporal cortex ($p = .016$), as well as with a composite tau-PET measure ($p = .002$). In contrast, Extroversion, Openness, Agreeableness, and Conscientiousness were not associated with tau deposition in any of these regions (p 's > 0.160). Our results indicate that increased Neuroticism is associated with higher tau pathophysiology in regions known to be vulnerable to AD pathophysiology in CN participants. High Neuroticism scores may therefore serve as a potential risk factor for tau accumulation. Alternatively, personality can change with the onset of AD, thus increased tau levels may affect Neuroticism scores. While future longitudinal studies are needed to determine directionality, our findings suggest early associations between Neuroticism and tau accumulation in CN adults.

Keywords Personality · Neuroticism · Alzheimer disease · Tau · Neurodegeneration

Introduction

Personality represents a stable organization of character and temperament of a person. It can be quantified for study using

personality inventories such as the Neuroticism, Extroversion, and Openness to experience Five-Factor Inventory (NEO; Costa & McCrae, 1992b). The NEO provides estimates of Neuroticism, Extraversion, Conscientiousness, Agreeableness,

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-019-00163-y>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Tammie L. S. Benzinger
benzingert@wustl.edu

¹ Division of Biology and Biomedical Sciences, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, USA

² Department of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, USA

³ Knight Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, USA

⁴ Department of Psychological & Brain Sciences, Washington University, St. Louis, USA

⁵ Department of Radiology, Mass General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

⁶ Banner Alzheimer's Institute, Phoenix, AZ, USA

⁷ Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, USA

⁸ Department of Neurological Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, USA

and Openness. Prior work has demonstrated ties between personality, measured by the NEO, and physical activity (Rhodes and Smith 2006), longevity (Friedman et al. 1993), obesity (Brummett et al. 2006), depression (Chioqueta and Stiles 2005), and Alzheimer disease (Duchek et al. 2007; Tautvydaite et al. 2017). Thus, it appears that certain personality traits are associated with both diverse health habits and health outcomes.

With respect to AD, previous work has noted personality changes in cognitively impaired individuals (Dawson et al. 2000; Petry et al. 1988) and that these changes may precede the clinical diagnosis of dementia (Balsis et al. 2005). Work by Duchek and colleagues (2007) has indicated that self-reported neurotic traits are higher in individuals with very mild AD dementia compared with cognitively normal (CN) adults. Similarly, in this study, they also found lower self-reported Openness to experience scores in individuals in the very mild and mild AD dementia cohorts compared to CN controls.

Rather than personality traits being altered with the onset of impairment, a resilient personality profile, including high conscientiousness, may be associated with a lower risk of developing symptomatic dementia. Conversely, a risk-related personality profile, including higher neuroticism, may be associated with a greater likelihood of developing symptomatic dementia. Specifically, Wilson and colleagues (2007) found that individuals with a high Conscientiousness score had an 89% reduction in their risk for AD. Another study, utilizing a meta-analytic approach (Terracciano et al. 2014), reported higher Neuroticism and lower Conscientiousness associated with a threefold increased risk of AD.

Qualities of anxiety, depression, impulsiveness, and vulnerability to stress are often used to describe Neuroticism (John and Srivastava 1999). These qualities may confer risk for psychological illness and AD dementia, suggesting a possible common mechanism linking stress, neuroticism and AD pathology. However, there remains a dearth of studies investigating the role of personality traits as risk and resilience factors for AD within CN cohorts.

Neuroimaging techniques have been implemented in prior studies to investigate the relationship between personality traits and AD-related neuropathology. A prior study (Jackson et al. 2011) has shown that there are associations between Neuroticism and Conscientiousness and cortical volumes in CN individuals, such that higher Neuroticism and lower Conscientiousness scores corresponded to reductions in cortical volumes. Additionally, recent work (Tautvydaite et al. 2017) has evaluated the relationship between personality and in vivo cerebrospinal fluid levels of amyloid-beta ($A\beta$) and tau in a heterogeneous sample of older adults with and without dementia. Tautvydaite and colleagues (Tautvydaite et al. 2017) found CSF AD biomarkers of phosphorylated tau and $A\beta$ are associated with increases in Neuroticism and decreases in Conscientiousness, further bolstering the link between personality traits and AD.

Prior work using in vivo imaging has also demonstrated that tau, rather than $A\beta$, is more strongly tied to measures of cognition and symptomatic AD (Brier et al. 2016). Importantly, pathologic tau begins to accumulate in individuals before any clinical symptoms can be detected (Aschenbrenner et al. 2018; Schultz et al. 2018). The introduction of tau-PET ligands that bind to neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) (Johnson et al. 2016) provide a new in vivo biomarker of tau pathology. The association between personality and PET measures of tau pathophysiology in cognitively normal (CN) individuals remains unexamined. Therefore, this study examined the cross-sectional relationship between personality traits, focusing on Neuroticism and Conscientiousness, and regional tau-PET deposition, as well as the stability of the personality traits over time in CN older adults.

Methods

Materials and methods

Participants

Data from 128 participants from local studies at the Knight Alzheimer Disease Research Center, Washington University in St Louis (including the Adult Children Study and the Healthy Aging and Senile Dementia Study) were used. Inclusion criteria included: having undergone $A\beta$ - and tau-PET scans, completion of a personality assessment, and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), and normal cognition (defined by having a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) (Morris 1997) score of 0 at the visit closest to the personality assessment. The Washington University in St. Louis Institutional Review Board approved all procedures and each participant provided signed informed consent for the study.

Personality measure

The NEO Five Factor Inventory Test (NEO-FFI) was administered to each participant (P. T. Costa and McCrae 1992b). This 60-item questionnaire measures the personality traits within five factors: Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness, Agreeableness and Conscientiousness. Every item is rated on a 1–5 scale from ‘strongly agree’ to ‘strongly disagree’. The NEO-FFI is a commonly used personality trait questionnaire, which is highly correlated with the full-length Revised NEO Personality Inventory and has strong internal consistency (P. T. Costa and McCrae 1992b). The descriptive statistics for the NEO-FFI factors are presented in Table 1. The mean scores

Table 1 Participant characteristics

Characteristic	Value
Cross-sectional cohort (<i>N</i> = 128)	
Age, Years	66.7 (8.1)
Female, %	60.9
APOE4 positive, %	32.5
Mini Mental State Exam [§]	29.4 (0.9)
Geriatric Depression Scale*	0.98 (1.3)
Summary tau-PET SUVR,	1.12 (0.2)
Summary beta-amyloid-PET SUVR	1.15 (0.5)
beta-amyloid- positive, % (n)	21.0 (27)
NEO Factors, raw score (SD), T score	
Neuroticism [†]	13.6 (7.5), 42.9
Extroversion [†]	30.2 (6.3), 54.3
Agreeableness [†]	35.5 (5.2), 55.4
Conscientiousness [†]	35.3 (6.1), 51.2
Openness [†]	29.3 (5.9), 53.9
Longitudinal Subset (<i>N</i> = 113)	
Age, Years	67.6 (7.7)
Female, %	61.9
APOE4 positive, %	31.9
Mini Mental State Exam [§]	29.4 (0.9)
Geriatric Depression Scale*	0.89 (1.1)
Summary tau-PET SUVR	1.19 (0.2)
Summary beta-amyloid-PET SUVR	1.18 (0.6)
NEO Factors, raw score (SD), T score	
Neuroticism [†]	13.6 (7.4), 42.9
Extroversion [†]	30.3 (6.4), 54.5
Agreeableness [†]	35.5 (5.1), 55.4
Conscientiousness [†]	35.3 (6.1), 51.2
Openness [†]	29.1 (6.1), 53.9
Number of NEO assessments, <i>N</i> (%), 2/3/4/5/6/7	40 (35)/ 28 (25)/ 25 (22)/ 18 (16)/ 1 (1)/ 1 (1)
Average time between NEO assessments, Years	3.67 (2.2)

Characteristics reported for cross-sectional sample are from study visit closest in time to the tau-PET imaging date. Characteristics reported for the subset with longitudinal data are from the baseline study visit. Mean (SD) is presented unless otherwise noted

[†] Raw scores are on scale of 0–60; [§] Scored on a scale of 0–30; * Scored on a scale of 0–10; ^Note that from 2 participants APOE4 genotype was not available

on the NEO-FFI for the present sample are consistent with mean scores obtained from a similar adult sample (Duchek et al. 2007). Based on the available literature, we focus on Neuroticism and Conscientiousness as the personality factors of primary interest; Extraversion, Openness, and Agreeableness were also included for completeness. Additionally, a subset of our cohort had two or more total NEO-FFI assessments (*N* = 113), collected prior to the tau-PET imaging, which were used to determine the stability of the personality measures.

MRI

Imaging data were acquired on a Siemens Biograph mMR (*n* = 125) or Trio 3 T scanner (*n* = 3). T₁-weighted images were acquired using a magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence with repetition time = 2300 ms, echo time = 2.95 ms, flip angle = 9°, 176 slices, in plane resolution 240 × 256, and slice thickness = 1.2 mm acquired in sagittal orientation. Images underwent volumetric segmentation using FreeSurfer 5.3

(<http://freesurfer.net>) (Fischl et al. 2004) and Desikan Killany atlas to identify regions of interest (ROIs) used in the PET analyses.

PET imaging

Tau-PET Tau-PET imaging was performed using ^{18}F -AV-1451 (florbetapir), a radioligand that preferentially binds to neurofibrillary tau. Scans were acquired on a PET/CT scanner. Data were processed using a FreeSurfer ROI approach as done in prior work using florbetapir (Brier et al. 2016; Gordon et al. 2016; Schultz et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2016). In each ROI, data from the 80–100 min post-injection window were converted to standardized uptake value ratio (SUVRs) using the cerebellar grey matter as the reference region and partial volume corrected using a regional spread function approach (Su et al. 2015). We focused on SUVR averaged from FreeSurfer ROIs including the bilateral entorhinal cortex, amygdala, inferior temporal cortex, and lateral occipital regions (Fig. 1a). These bilateral regions have previously been identified as the best in discriminating CN from AD participants (Mishra et al. 2017). For our main analyses, a summary composite measure was created using the average of these four regions. The average time between NEO-FFI assessment and tau-PET imaging was a mean (SD) of 3.44 (3.31) months.

A β -PET Participants underwent A β -PET imaging with ^{18}F -AV-45 (florbetapir). Scans were acquired on a PET/MR scanner and attenuation corrected with corresponding PET/CT. FreeSurfer ROI data between the 50–70 min post-injection window were converted to SUVRs using the cerebellar grey matter as the reference region and partial volume corrected using a regional spread function approach (Su et al. 2015). As previously described, a summary A β deposition measure

which represents regions with earliest A β burden was created using the average across the left and right ROIs from FreeSurfer areas corresponding to the lateral orbitofrontal, medial orbitofrontal, rostral middle frontal, superior frontal, superior temporal, middle temporal, and precuneus regions bilaterally (Fig. 1b) (Su et al. 2016; Su et al. 2013). The summary A β deposition measure was treated as a continuous measure. For descriptive purposes only we split our sample based on a partial volume corrected florbetapir SUVR cutoff of 1.22 (Schultz et al. 2018). The average time between NEO-FFI assessment and A β -PET imaging was a mean (SD) of 4.12 (5.91) months.


Statistical analyses

Association between tau-PET and personality First, to determine whether there were global associations between tau-PET and each of the personality traits (Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness, Agreeableness and Conscientiousness), we fitted a linear regression model for the composite tau-PET measure with each of the five personality factors as the independent variable of interest. Additionally, all models included covariates for age, sex, and GDS. We included GDS as a covariate in order to ensure that participants with high Neuroticism did not have increases in tau deposition due to depressive symptoms.

Next, to determine where there were region-specific effects of personality on NFT burden, we repeated our analyses for each of the four individual regions that comprise the composite tau-PET measure, including the entorhinal cortex, amygdala, inferior temporal cortex, and lateral occipital region, with each of the five personality factors as the independent variable of interest.

Association between A β -PET and personality Since the relationship between A β and personality in AD as well as A β load and tau levels in AD have been reported in previous

Fig. 1 Regions of interest. Selected regions of interest included in the composite tau-PET measure (a), and A β -PET measure (b)



studies (Schultz et al. 2018; Tautvydaite et al. 2017) we also evaluated the relationship between A β and personality. We fitted a linear regression model for the composite A β -PET SUVR, with the independent variable of interest being each of the five personality factors, after adjusting for age, sex, and GDS. For analyses that showed an association between A β -PET SUVRs and personality factors, we repeated the original linear regression models evaluating the relationship between tau-PET and that personality factor, including A β -PET SUVRs as a covariate to ensure that participants with high Neuroticism and low Conscientiousness did not have more tau deposition due to greater A β deposition.

Stability of NEO factors Although we are unable to evaluate the longitudinal relationship between tau-PET SUVRs and personality traits in our current cohort, and therefore have limited ability to infer causation, we were able to investigate the stability of the NEO-FFI assessments in our CN study sample. To determine the stability of the personality measures in a subset of our cohort who had two or more total NEO-FFI assessments ($N = 113$) collected prior to flortaucipir imaging, we ran a linear mixed effects model for each of the five personality factors to determine if the factors significantly changed over time. Fixed effect terms included the time from the first NEO-FFI assessment (in years) and a random slope and intercept terms for each participant. We additionally examined the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for each factor for the first two NEO-FFI assessments available.

The demographics for the longitudinal cohort are reported in Table 1. The average number of NEO-FFI assessments was 2.23 visits and the time interval between first and last NEO-FFI assessment span between 2.74 and 13.53 years.

Only findings with values of $p \leq 0.05$ (2-tailed) were considered significant. Cross-sectional analyses and longitudinal analyses were conducted with lme4 package in R.

Results

Participant characteristics

Table 1 details the relevant characteristics of the participants. The average age of the cross-sectional sample was 67.5 ± 8.7 years and 29.7% were APOE4 positive.

Association between composite tau-PET measure and personality

There was a significant positive association between Neuroticism and our composite measure of flortaucipir SUVR ($B = 0.007$, $p = 0.002$) (Fig. 2). There were no

associations between Extroversion, Openness, Agreeableness, or Conscientiousness and our composite flortaucipir SUVR measure (p 's ≥ 0.298) (Table 2).

Association between tau-PET ROIs and personality

There were significant positive associations between Neuroticism and flortaucipir SUVR in the entorhinal cortex ($B = 0.009$, $p = 0.012$), inferior temporal lobe ($B = 0.005$, $p = 0.016$), and amygdala ($B = 0.010$, $p = 0.002$), but not in the lateral occipital cortex ($B = 0.004$, $p = 0.154$) (Fig. 2). There were no associations between regional tau-PET SUVRs and Openness (p 's ≥ 0.572), Agreeableness (p 's ≥ 0.296), Extroversion (p 's ≥ 0.160), or Conscientiousness (p 's ≥ 0.236) factors (Table 2).

Association between composite A β -PET measure and personality

As expected, there was a strong relationship between tau-PET and A β -PET SUVRs, after adjusting for age and sex, in our sample ($B[SE] = 0.156[.027]$, $p = 6.73e-08$). Additionally, there was a positive association between Neuroticism scores and the composite A β -PET SUVRs ($B[SE] = 0.014 [0.007]$, $p = 0.038$). There was no relationship between Extroversion, Openness, Agreeableness, or Conscientiousness and A β -PET SUVRs (p 's ≥ 0.189 , Table 2).

Next, to determine whether A β deposition was driving the relationship between tau-PET SUVRs and Neuroticism scores, we repeated our analyses, with A β -PET SUVRs included in the models as a covariate. After adjusting for A β -PET SUVRs, results remained essentially the same for our composite measure of flortaucipir SUVR ($B = 0.005$, $p = 0.018$) and flortaucipir amygdala SUVRs ($B = 0.007$, $p = 0.014$), but were non-significant for the entorhinal cortex ($B = 0.006$, $p = 0.104$), and inferior temporal cortex ($B = 0.004$, $p = 0.064$).

Stability of NEO factors

In a subset of our sample ($N = 113$) with longitudinal NEO-FFI assessments, Neuroticism and Openness scores showed a decrease over time ($B = -0.140$, $p = 0.025$ and $B = -0.140$, $p = 0.003$, respectively), while Agreeableness ($B = 0.054$, $p = 0.245$), Extroversion ($B = -0.004$, $p = 0.927$), and Conscientiousness ($B = 0.013$, $p = 0.749$) scores remained stable. These results are presented in Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 1. ICC for Neuroticism, Openness, Agreeableness, Extroversion, and Conscientiousness scores were 0.832, 0.886, 0.780, 0.887, and 0.883, respectively.




Fig. 2 Association between Neuroticism and regional tau-PET. Covariate-adjusted residuals from linear regression models examining the relationship between Neuroticism and tau-PET SUVR in the

composite (a), amygdala (b), inferior temporal cortex (c), entorhinal cortex (d), and lateral occipital cortex (e). PET = Positron emission tomography; SUVR = Standardized uptake value ratio

Discussion

This study showed that, in a cohort of CN older adults, Neuroticism is associated with increased tau and $A\beta$ levels, detected by tau- and $A\beta$ -PET. Specifically,

individuals with higher Neuroticism scores had higher flortaucipir SUVR in regions including the amygdala, entorhinal cortex, and inferior temporal cortex, as well as a composite measure of tauopathy. Similarly, higher Neuroticism scores were associated with higher $A\beta$

Table 2 Association between tau-PET and personality traits

NEO Factor	Composite Tau		Amygdala Tau		Entorhinal Tau		Inferior Temporal Tau		Lateral Occipital Tau		Composite A β	
	B (SE)	p	B (SE)	p	B (SE)	p	B (SE)	p	B (SE)	p	B (SE)	p
Neuroticism	.007 (.002)	0.002	.010 (.003)	0.002	.009 (.004)	0.012	.005 (.002)	0.016	.004 (.003)	0.154	.014 (.007)	0.038
Extroversion	-.002 (.003)	0.417	-.005 (.004)	0.218	.002 (.004)	0.693	-.003 (.002)	0.160	-.002 (.003)	0.422	-.002 (.002)	0.491
Openness	-.0004 (.003)	0.894	.002 (.004)	0.572	-.002 (.005)	0.704	-.001 (.002)	0.559	-.0004 (.003)	0.891	.002 (.002)	0.512
Agreeableness	-.002 (.003)	0.484	-.005 (.005)	0.296	-.001 (.005)	0.844	-.002 (.003)	0.475	-.001 (.004)	0.759	.001 (.003)	0.612
Conscientiousness	-.003 (.003)	0.298	-.004 (.004)	0.327	-.003 (.005)	0.236	-.001 (.002)	0.785	-.001 (.003)	0.654	-.002 (.002)	0.308

Association between each of the five personality traits and composite or regional tau-PET SUVR and composite A β -PET SUVR levels. Unstandardized regression coefficients (B) and *p*-values correspond to multivariate models, adjusted for age, sex, and geriatric depression scale score. PET = Positron emission tomography; SUVR = Standardized uptake value ratio

deposition. These relationships were selective, as there were no associations between Agreeableness, Openness, Extroversion, or Conscientiousness, with tau-PET or A β -PET SUVRs. Importantly, the relationship between Neuroticism and tau was not driven by depressive symptoms.

Furthermore, when we re-examined the relationship between Neuroticism and tau-PET SUVRs, accounting for A β levels, results remained the same for select regions, including the amygdala, with a trend towards significant for the inferior temporal cortex tau-PET SUVRs. However, after adjusting for A β levels, there was no association between Neuroticism and entorhinal tau-PET. This could suggest that A β may be moderating the effect of tau on personality traits in some regions, but not others. Larger cohort studies with sufficient ranges of A β levels are needed to test the interaction between personality traits and A β on flortaucipir PET SUVRs.

Initial studies from our group and others report relationships between personality traits and cognitive functioning in older individuals with (Duchek et al. 2007) and without (Jackson et al. 2011) dementia. For example, Duchek and colleagues (2007) examined the differences in personality traits in the earliest stages of AD and found that informant ratings of Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness, Agreeableness, and Conscientiousness were able to discriminate CN controls from individuals with very mild AD. Furthermore, informant ratings of Neuroticism and Conscientiousness discriminated these groups as well as was associated with a composite episodic memory measure. These results suggest that personality, including Neuroticism, may serve as an early marker for onset of AD. Interestingly, our sample had relatively low scores for Neuroticism, albeit within a normal range, suggesting the associations could be even more pronounced if the cohort had higher levels of Neuroticism.

Additionally, Jackson and colleagues (Jackson et al. 2011) have examined the relationship between personality traits and common pathophysiological features of cognitive dysfunction and dementia, including grey and white matter volumes. They found that higher Neuroticism was associated with smaller regional volumes and greater decreases in volume with advancing age, and conversely, higher Conscientiousness was associated with larger regional volumes and less decline in volumes with aging. While these results and others (Zufferey et al. 2017) point to a potential detrimental effect of Neuroticism on age-related volume loss in CN individuals a major limitation of these past studies is that they did not screen for presence of AD-related pathology including A β or tau, which are known to accumulate in the brain decades preceding any clinically meaningful cognitive deficits in healthy control individuals.

Animal models have shown that prolonged stress, a trait of individuals with high neuroticism, has negative effects on neural integrity, particularly in medial temporal lobe regions

Table 3 Stability of NEO factors

NEO Factor	B (SE)	p
Neuroticism	-0.140 (.061)	.025
Conscientiousness	0.013 (.039)	.749
Openness	-0.140 (.037)	<.001
Extroversion	-0.004 (.046)	.927
Agreeableness	0.054 (.046)	.245

Change in personality traits over time. Unstandardized regression coefficients B values and p-values from linear mixed effects model. Fixed effect terms included the time from the first NEO assessment (in years), and random slope and intercept terms, for each participant

such as the hippocampus and amygdala. Specifically, chronic stress has been shown to lead to dendritic atrophy, synaptic loss, and suppression of neurogenesis in the hippocampus (Radley and Morrison 2005) and alterations of limbic connectivity (Poeggel et al. 2003). In human studies, results suggest that stress and anxiety may confer risk for psychological illness and dementia through interaction with the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA)-axis. The HPA axis is required for stress adaptations and mechanisms involved in regulating function of the HPA axis and sensitivity to stress include the amygdala and medial temporal regions such as hippocampus and entorhinal cortex (Herman et al. 2016). Similar to animal studies mentioned above these limbic and medial temporal regions have been shown to be vulnerable to negative effects of abnormal HPA axis functioning in humans (Valli et al. 2016).

The results of our study highlight region-specific associations of higher Neuroticism with higher in vivo tau-PET SUVRs bilaterally in the amygdala, entorhinal cortex and inferior temporal cortex, which are HPA axis-associated brain regions. Since these regions are known to be the most sensitive to early accumulation of tau, it may be that chronic stress, expressed by a neurotic personality, creates region-specific vulnerability for future tauopathy. However, intricate molecular and behavioral animal and human translational studies are needed to explore this mechanism in further detail. Furthermore, PET tracer limitations, including non-specific off-target binding, make it difficult to disentangle the associations between Neuroticism and tau within subcortical structure of HPA-associated areas including hypothalamus and pituitary regions in vivo.

A limitation of this study is the cross-sectional nature of the imaging data, therefore we cannot determine causality. Prior work has shown that personality measures are stable over time (P. T. Costa and McCrae 1992a, 1992b), but this may not be true in the case of pathological aging. However, a recent study in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging cohort (A. Terracciano et al. 2017) analyzed longitudinal personality trait data from over 2,000 individuals and examined whether the trajectory of personality traits was dependent on conversion to

MCI or dementia from baseline. They found that MCI and AD individuals had higher mean levels of Neuroticism and lower Conscientiousness, compared to non-impaired older adults. Importantly, personality traits remained stable even in those who converted to MCI or dementia; there were no differences in trajectory of personality traits in individuals who converted to MCI or dementia, compared to non-impaired older adults. These data suggest that longitudinal rates of change in personality traits do not occur as a function of underlying proteinopathy, and that change in personality may not be a useful tool in identifying individuals at risk for conversion. Instead, these data, and results from the current study suggest cross-sectional assessment of personality traits may provide a behavioral risk factor for individuals who may be at increased risk for developing dementia.

Personality traits, including Neuroticism, have been reported to decrease with advancing age in some (Donnellan and Lucas 2008; A. Terracciano et al. 2005), but not all (Costa and RR 1988) prior studies. We do not yet have enough longitudinal tau-PET data to directly compare change in tau pathophysiology to change in personality factors in our cohort. Therefore, we are unable to infer causality of the cross-sectional results we report. However, we do have longitudinal NEO-FFI assessments, collected prior to the tau-PET data, and examined whether the personality traits changed over time. Using a linear mixed effects model to leverage all our longitudinal data, we found Neuroticism and Openness decreased over time, while Extroversion, Agreeableness, and Conscientiousness remained stable. In contrast, when comparing longitudinal assessments over the first two visits with an ICC, all of the NEO-FFI appeared relatively stable (0.780–0.887). The difference in results obtained from the linear mixed effects model and the ICC may reflect that the linear mixed effects model took into account all longitudinal visits (2 to 7 visits; between 2.74 and 13.53 years of follow-up) while the ICC utilized data from the first two visits (average of 3.67 years between first two visits). Larger samples and samples with concurrent longitudinal tau measures are needed to better understand the implications of decreasing neuroticism on tau pathophysiology in CN adults.

Overall, the present study provides evidence for a link between Neuroticism and tau deposition in CN individuals, independent of depressive symptoms or A β burden. While others have reported associations between Neuroticism and cognitive decline and dementia, our findings suggest that Neuroticism is associated with early pathophysiologic features of neurodegenerative diseases, including AD. While we cannot draw strong conclusions regarding causation in this study, our findings, in the context of the literature, suggest that Neuroticism is related to tau burden in the absence of cognitive impairment. This work makes a critical contribution to the efforts to determine the risk and resilience of AD-related pathophysiology that certain personality traits confer.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the financial support of Fred Simmons and Olga Mohan, the Charles F. and Joanne Knight Alzheimer's Research Initiative, the Hope Center for Neurological Disorders, the Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, the American Society for Neuroradiology, and the Barnes-Jewish Hospital Foundation (BJHF), the Paula and Rodger Riney Fund, the BJHF Willman Scholar Fund, and the Daniel J Brennan MD Fund. This research was additionally funded by BrightFocus Foundation grants A2017272S and A2017330S; Alzheimer's Association Research Grant AARG -17-532945; Arizona Alzheimer's Research Consortium; National Science Foundation grant DGE-1745038; and National Institutes of Health grants P50AG005681, P01AG026276, P01AG003991, R01AG055444, R01AG031581, UL1TR000448, R01EB009352, 1P30NS098577, and K01AG053474-01A1. Avid Radiopharmaceuticals (a wholly owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly) provided doses of 18F-florbetapir, partial funding for 18F-florbetapir scanning, precursor for 18F-flortaucipir, and technology transfer for manufacturing of 18F-flortaucipir. The authors thank their participants, without whom this study would not have been possible.

Funding The authors acknowledge the financial support of Fred Simmons and Olga Mohan, the Charles F. and Joanne Knight Alzheimer's Research Initiative, the Hope Center for Neurological Disorders, the Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, the American Society for Neuroradiology, and the Barnes-Jewish Hospital Foundation (BJHF), the BJHF Paula and Rodger O. Riney Fund, the BJHF Willman Scholar Fund, and the Daniel J Brennan Fund. This research was additionally funded by BrightFocus Foundation grants A2017272S and A2017330S; Alzheimer's Association Research Grant AARG -17-532945; Arizona Alzheimer's Research Consortium; National Science Foundation grant DGE-1745038; and National Institutes of Health grants P50AG005681, P01AG026276, P01AG003991, R01AG055444, R01AG031581, UL1TR000448, R01EB009352, 1P30NS098577, and K01AG053474-01A1. Avid Radiopharmaceuticals (a wholly owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly) provided doses of 18F-florbetapir, partial funding for 18F-florbetapir scanning, precursor for 18F-flortaucipir, and technology transfer for manufacturing of 18F-flortaucipir.

Data availability Data that support the findings of this study are available from the Knight ADRC at <https://knightadrc.wustl.edu/Research/ResourceRequest.htm>.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest John C. Morris, Tammie L.S. Benzinger, and Brian A. Gordon report participation in clinical trials sponsored by Eli Lilly, Roche, and Biogen. Avid Radiopharmaceuticals (a wholly owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly) provided doses of 18F-florbetapir, partial funding for 18F-florbetapir scanning, precursor for 18F-flortaucipir and technology transfer for manufacturing of 18F-flortaucipir). None of the authors, nor their family members, own stock or have equity interest (outside of mutual funds or other externally directed accounts) in any pharmaceutical or biotechnology company.

References

- Aschenbrenner, A. J., Gordon, B. A., Benzinger, T. L. S., Morris, J. C., & Hassenstab, J. J. (2018). Influence of tau PET, amyloid PET, and hippocampal volume on cognition in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*, *91*(9), e859–e866. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000006075>.
- Balsis, S., Carpenter, B. D., & Storandt, M. (2005). Personality change precedes clinical diagnosis of dementia of the Alzheimer type. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, *60*(2), P98–P101. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/60.2.P98>.
- Brier, M. R., Gordon, B., Friedrichsen, K., McCarthy, J., Stern, A., Christensen, J., ... Ances, B. M. (2016a). Tau and Abeta imaging, CSF measures, and cognition in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Transl Med*, *8*(338), 338ra366. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf2362>.
- Brummett, B. H., Babyak, M. A., Williams, R. B., Barefoot, J. C., Costa, P. T., & Siegler, I. C. (2006). NEO personality domains and gender predict levels and trends in body mass index over 14 years during midlife. *Journal of Research in Personality*, *40*(3), 222–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2004.12.002>.
- Chioqueta, A. P., & Stiles, T. C. (2005). Personality traits and the development of depression, hopelessness, and suicide ideation. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *38*(6), 1283–1291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2004.08.010>.
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992a). Four ways five factors are basic. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *13*(6), 653–665. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869\(92\)90236-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(92)90236-I).
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992b). *NEO PI-R professional manual*. Odessa: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Costa, P. J., & RR, M. (1988). Personality in adulthood: A six-year longitudinal study of self-reports and spouse ratings on the NEO personality inventory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *54*(5), 853–863.
- Dawson, D. V., Welsh-Bohmer, K. A., & Siegler, I. C. (2000). Premorbid personality predicts level of rated personality change in patients with Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, *14*(1), 11–19.
- Donnellan, M. B., & Lucas, R. E. (2008). Age differences in the big five across the life span: Evidence from two national samples. *Psychology and Aging*, *23*(3), 558–566. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012897>.
- Duchek, J. M., Balota, D. A., Storandt, M., & Larsen, R. (2007). The power of personality in discriminating between healthy aging and early-stage Alzheimer's disease. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, *62*(6), P353–P361.
- Fischl, B., van der Kouwe, A., Destrieux, C., Halgren, E., Segonne, F., Salat, D. H., ... Dale, A. M. (2004). Automatically parcellating the human cerebral cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, *14*(1), 11–22.
- Friedman, H. S., Tucker, J. S., Tomlinson-Keasey, C., Schwartz, J. E., Wingard, D. L., & Criqui, M. H. (1993). Does childhood personality predict longevity? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *65*(1), 176–185. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.65.1.176>.
- Gordon, B. A., Friedrichsen, K., Brier, M., Blazey, T., Su, Y., Christensen, J., Aldea, P., McConathy, J., Holtzman, D. M., Cairns, N. J., Morris, J. C., Fagan, A. M., Ances, B. M., & Benzinger, T. L. (2016). The relationship between cerebrospinal fluid markers of Alzheimer pathology and positron emission tomography tau imaging. *Brain*, *139*(Pt 8), 2249–2260. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww139>.
- Herman, J. P., McKlveen, J. M., Ghosal, S., Kopp, B., Wulsin, A., Makinson, R., ... Myers, B. (2016). Regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical stress response. *Comprehensive Physiology*, *6*(2), 603–621. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c150015>.
- Jackson, J., Balota, D. A., & Head, D. (2011). Exploring the relationship between personality and regional brain volume in healthy aging. *Neurobiology of Aging*, *32*(12), 2162–2171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2009.12.009>.
- John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). The big five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. In *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (2nd ed., pp. 102–138). New York: Guilford Press.
- Johnson, K. A., Schultz, A., Betensky, R. A., Becker, J. A., Sepulcre, J., Rentz, D., Mormino, E., Chhatwal, J., Amariglio, R., Papp, K., Marshall, G., Albers, M., Mauro, S., Pepin, L., Alverio, J., Judge, K., Philiossaint, M., Shoup, T., Yokell, D., Dickerson, B., Gomez-

- Isla, T., Hyman, B., Vasdev, N., & Sperling, R. (2016). Tau positron emission tomographic imaging in aging and early Alzheimer disease. *Annals of Neurology*, *79*(1), 110–119. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24546>.
- Mishra, S., Gordon, B. A., Su, Y., Christensen, J., Friedrichsen, K., Jackson, K., Hornbeck, R., Balota, D. A., Cairns, N. J., Morris, J. C., Ances, B. M., & Benzinger, T. L. S. (2017). AV-1451 PET imaging of tau pathology in preclinical Alzheimer disease: Defining a summary measure. *Neuroimage*, *161*, 171–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.050>.
- Morris, J. C. (1997). Clinical dementia rating: A reliable and valid diagnostic and staging measure for dementia of the Alzheimer type. *International Psychogeriatrics*, *9*(Suppl 1), 173–176 discussion 177–178.
- Petry, S., Cummings, J. L., Hill, M., & Shapira, J. (1988). Personality alterations in dementia of the alzheimer type. *Archives of Neurology*, *45*(11), 1187–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.1988.00520350025009>.
- Poeggel, G., Helmeke, C., Abraham, A., Schwabe, T., Friedrich, P., & Braun, K. (2003). Juvenile emotional experience alters synaptic composition in the rodent cortex, hippocampus, and lateral amygdala. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *100*(26), 16137–16142. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2434663100>.
- Radley, J. J., & Morrison, J. H. (2005). Repeated stress and structural plasticity in the brain. *Ageing Research Reviews*, *4*(2), 271–287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2005.03.004>.
- Rhodes, R. E., & Smith, N. E. (2006). Personality correlates of physical activity: A review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *40*(12), 958–965. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2006.028860>.
- Schultz, S. A., Gordon, B. A., Mishra, S., Su, Y., Perrin, R. J., Cairns, N. J., Morris, J. C., Ances, B. M., & Benzinger, T. L. S. (2018). Widespread distribution of tauopathy in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of Aging*, *72*, 177–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.08.022>.
- Su, Y., D'Angelo, G. M., Vlassenko, A. G., Zhou, G., Snyder, A. Z., Marcus, D. S., Blazey, T. M., Christensen, J. J., Vora, S., Morris, J. C., Mintun, M. A., & Benzinger, T. L. (2013). Quantitative analysis of PiB-PET with FreeSurfer ROIs. *PLoS One*, *8*(11), e73377. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073377>.
- Su, Y., Blazey, T. M., Snyder, A. Z., Raichle, M. E., Marcus, D. S., Ances, B. M., et al. (2015). Partial volume correction in quantitative amyloid imaging. *Neuroimage*, *107*, 55–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.11.058>.
- Su, Y., Blazey, T. M., Owen, C. J., Christensen, J. J., Friedrichsen, K., Joseph-Mathurin, N., ... Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer, N. (2016). Quantitative amyloid imaging in autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease: Results from the DIAN study group. *PLoS One*, *11*(3), e0152082. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152082>.
- Tautvydaite, D., Antonietti, J. P., Henry, H., von Gunten, A., & Popp, J. (2017). Relations between personality changes and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *90*, 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.12.024>.
- Terracciano, A., McCrae, R. R., Brant, L. J., & Costa, P. T. (2005). Hierarchical linear modeling analyses of the NEO-PI-R scales in the Baltimore longitudinal study of aging. *Psychology and Aging*, *20*(3), 493–506. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.20.3.493>.
- Terracciano, A., Sutin, A. R., An, Y., O'Brien, R. J., Ferrucci, L., Zonderman, A. B., & Resnick, S. M. (2014). Personality and risk of Alzheimer's disease: New data and meta-analysis. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *10*(2), 179–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2013.03.002>.
- Terracciano, A., An, Y., Sutin, A. R., Thambisetty, M., & Resnick, S. M. (2017). Personality change in the preclinical phase of Alzheimer disease. *JAMA Psychiatry*, *74*(12), 1259–1265. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.2816>.
- Valli, I., Crossley, N. A., Day, F., Stone, J., Tognin, S., Mondelli, V., Howes, O., Valmaggia, L., Pariante, C., & McGuire, P. (2016). HPA-axis function and grey matter volume reductions: Imaging the diathesis-stress model in individuals at ultra-high risk of psychosis. *Translational Psychiatry*, *6*, e797. <https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2016.68> <https://www.nature.com/articles/tp201668#supplementary-information>.
- Wang, L., Benzinger, T. L., Su, Y., Christensen, J., Friedrichsen, K., Aldea, P., McConathy, J., Cairns, N. J., Fagan, A. M., Morris, J. C., & Ances, B. M. (2016). Evaluation of tau imaging in staging Alzheimer disease and revealing interactions between beta-amyloid and Tauopathy. *JAMA Neurology*, *73*(9), 1070–1077. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.2078>.
- Wilson, R. S., Schneider, J. A., Arnold, S. E., Bienias, J. L., & Bennett, D. A. (2007). Conscientiousness and the incidence of alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *64*(10), 1204–1212. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.64.10.1204>.
- Zufferey, V., Donati, A., Popp, J., Meuli, R., Rossier, J., Frackowiak, R., Draganski, B., von Gunten, A., & Kherif, F. (2017). Neuroticism, depression, and anxiety traits exacerbate the state of cognitive impairment and hippocampal vulnerability to Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement (Amst)*, *7*, 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2017.05.002>.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.